

Summary of main decisions and actions to be taken
Meeting of Regional Chairs, Coordinators and Communications Officers and GWPO
 15-17 August 2011, Stockholm

Agenda item	Decisions and actions to be taken	Responsible	Comments
15th August <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The GWP Strategy Mid-Term Review – interaction with two consultants 	N/A		No comment
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary of draft document “GWP Future Directions paper followed by discussion – <i>Ania Grobicki</i> 	1. The next version of the Future Directions paper will incorporate the main findings of Mid-Term Review, the discussions of this meeting and shared with the regions for feedback. The revised version will be shared with the SC and FPG	Ania/John	<p><i>Future Directions</i> paper was finalised for discussion with the Nov 2011 SC meeting.</p> <p>The GWP Strategy Mid-Term Review(MTR) was finalised in Nov 2011, and discussed with the Nov SC meeting.</p> <p>Eight (8) <i>Focus Areas for Action 2012 and 2013</i> paper were agreed by the SC and the paper summarising these distributed with the MTR report to the Regions on 28 May 2012.</p>
16th August II. <u>Progress in implementing GWP’s Programme Cycle</u> - <i>John Metzger, Jacques Rey and Peter Nyman</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity reporting Outcome mapping; 2010 monitoring & reporting of outcomes against progress markers 	2. Programming cycle needs to be improved (i.e. define the niche for IWRM in Thematic work, IWRM needs to be reflected in the programming cycle and thematic work; update programming cycle to include the role of partners and scale -global, regional, national and subnational-; update work breakdown structure to include arrows both ways) 3. The OM approach is an appropriate methodology for the kind of work we do but we need to simplify the OM terminology and think of a middle ground between OM and RMB. 4. Provide guidance to the Network on methodology to get	John	<p>The continued evolution of a simple yet implementable work programme management cycle including M&E continues, as also recommended in the Mid-Term Review and agreed as one of the 8 <i>Focus Areas for action in 2012 and 2013</i>.</p> <p>Report and proposed M&E manual to be presented and discussed during the Aug</p>

Agenda item	Decisions and actions to be taken	Responsible	Comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposed intermediate progress report 2012 Work planning and Budget templates 	<p>numbers on value for money for reporting as well as in including numbers in planning approach in work plans.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Intermediary reporting needs further reflection. The 'Intermediate Progress Report' format needs to be updated and improved (i.e. use smiley faces) More interregional exchange is needed and funding is required to finance this. Pursue China-Africa potential exchange. Consider holding the global CP in regions as before (subject to funding). The budget template for 2012 will be the same as last year. Core support to the regions is planned to be the same as last year (200K per region, except Caribbean 140K). WACDEP regions will receive a modified template to be presented at the WACDEP meeting. Regional SC/Council meetings, GWP CP meeting and Regional Assemblies are no longer to be included under running costs, and should instead be listed as Goal 4 activities The RWP are requested to include in the budget those funds raised locally at country and regional level (only when secured by a signed agreement) so we can demonstrate to our core donors that their seed funding is allowing us to raise funds locally. The financial guidelines for next year will be updated in collaboration with the regions (input from selected regional financial officers and coordinators). The process of approval for budget reallocations will be discussed, including reallocations between activities within the same strategic goal." The completed regional work plans and budgets should be received by the GWPO Secretariat no later than the 10th of October 2011. 	Finance, NOP & RWPs	<p>2012 regional days.</p> <p>First edition of a GWP Annual Progress Review to 2011 report was prepared in accordance with SC instructions to the May 2012 SC meeting and approved. This report was distributed to the regions on 28 May 2012.</p>

Agenda item	Decisions and actions to be taken	Responsible	Comments
III. <u>GWP and global processes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> UN Water IWRM Rio+20 Survey - <i>Joakim Harlin and Josephine Gustafsson</i> Astana process - <i>GWP Central and Eastern Europe</i> 6th World Water Forum - <i>GWP Mediterranean</i> 	N/A 15. All GWP components will cooperate in this process and coordinate their participation. 16. Regions to identify and pursue appropriate follow up actions 17. GWP MED will continue to lead the process. 18. GWPO will not support thematic groups. The only exception will be “balancing the multiple use of water under IWRM”, which will be supported by Mohamed.	RWP involved GWP MED	
IV. <u>Proposed RWP performance self-assessment</u> - <i>John Metzger</i>	19. The draft performance assessment instrument was approved in principle RWP final inputs to be sent to GWPO by the end of September 20. GWPO will submit revised version to its SC for final approval.	John Metzger	RWP Performance Assessment Form was drafted and submitted to the Nov 2011 SC meeting, and agreed for first time implementation in 2012.
V. <u>Conditions for Accreditation</u> - <i>John Metzger</i>	21. GWPO will further explore the implications of allowing the RWP to obtain legal status and will feedback to the RWP in December	Ania/John	Revised Conditions for Accreditation were agreed at the Nov 2011 SC meeting, with a request that they be simplified. This work remains in progress in order to align with the future directions
17th August VI <u>Synergies between GWPO, Technical Committee and Regional Activities</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technical Committee Road Map – <i>Mohamed Ait Kadi</i> 	22. Secretariat will continue to dialogue with the TEC to clarify how to address operational strategies into TEC agenda		Making the knowledge chain work, and improving the <i>technical function</i> is one of the 8 <i>focus areas</i> for implementation in the remaining period of the Strategy., A <i>Task Force</i> has been established within the GWPO for this purpose. A report will be made to the regions in Aug 2012.
VII. <u>GWP Operational Strategies for priority thematic areas</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction to operational strategies to implement the GWP Strategy - <i>Mohamed Ait-Kadi, John Metzger and</i> 	23. Comments and suggestions emerging from the discussions will be included in the next drafts of all 4 thematic areas.	Alex Simalabwi Aur�lie Vitry John	Comments from the discussions have been incorporated into respective drafts. An operational strategy for Tackling Urbanisation awaits recruitment of

Agenda item	Decisions and actions to be taken	Responsible	Comments
<p><i>Chair of Regional Chairs</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adapting to Climate Change – <i>Alex Simalabwi</i> Achieving Food Security – <i>Aurélie Vitry</i> Facilitating transboundary cooperation – <i>John Metzger and Patricia Wouters</i> Improving financing for water management – <i>Gabriela Grau</i> Tackling urbanization – <i>Akiça Bahri</i>. (A TEC paper on Integrated urban “Towards Integrated Urban Water Management” was presented instead of the operational strategy) 	<p>24. An operational strategy on Tackling Urbanisation to be drafted.</p> <p>25. GWPO must ensure cohesiveness and consistency between the GWP Strategy and the work plan for 2012. Some key actions were the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The importance of strengthening the linkages between IWRM,, water security and climate change in the Strategy. IWRM should serve as the entry point for CCA agenda. The need for highlighting the water-energy nexus in the climate change adaptation strategy. <p>26. Provide help to regions regarding 1997 UN Convention on Non-Navigational Uses –regions need arguments (economic) why to implement the Convention and what Convention could do and could not do</p>	<p>Metzger/Pat Wouters Gabriela Grau</p> <p>John Metzger</p> <p>John Metzger</p> <p>Mohamed Ait Kadi</p>	<p>vacant NO positions.</p>
<p>VIII <u>Technical Committee Work plan</u> – <i>Mohamed Ait Kadi and Chair of Regional Chairs</i> (TEC workplan 2012 was not presented)</p>	<p>27. TEC will elaborate the workplan 2012 by October 10</p>	<p>Mohamed Ait Kadi</p>	<p>done</p>
<p>IX. <u>GWP Toolbox – the cornerstone of knowledge sharing</u> – <i>Danka Thalmeinerova and Steven Downey</i></p>	<p>28. Secretariat will develop a ToolBox workplan 2012 by October 10, workplan to take into account inputs from the meeting.</p>	<p>Danka Thalmeinerova</p>	<p>done</p>