**1. Title**

200 Characters Max

**2. Summary**

700 Characters Max

Summarise the problem that was faced, the actions taken, the outcomes and results of the actions, and some of the lessons learned. This section should allow a reader to make a decision whether it is a relevant case study for their issue of concern.

**3. Background**

3000 Characters Max

This section should clearly state the problem that was faced and describe the context and background of all components of the problem. This is where the stage is set for the case study/solution. The problem could go beyond hydrological issues, e.g., related to the governance structure, since water challenges always carry a combination of socio-hydrological elements.

**4. Actions taken**

4000 Characters Max

This section should describe and explain the actions taken to address the problem. Issues which could be discussed here would include:

* Decisions taken to start the planned action. What were the objectives, who took the leadership role in the definition of objectives, who was involved in defining the objectives? What was the succession of decisions during project implementation?
* Actions taken, instruments used, stakeholders involved and consulted (public, private, and associations) and their role.
* Alternatives considered, how were the actions prioritized and selected, information and methods used.

**5. Outcomes**

4000 Characters Max

This section should describe what happened as a result of the actions taken, as well as the underlying reasons for the outcomes. Some of the possible questions answered could be:

* What problems still exist? To what extent do they still exist? What outcomes are likely expected if these problems are overcome?
* What were the key implementation issues? Are these still ongoing issues?
* What were the results obtained? Were the project objectives achieved? Include both quantitative and qualitative results (such as: improvement of water ecosystems; water quality and people’s health; social improvements).
* What was the impact of the action? E.g on policies at national level, on capacity building, any regulations, agreements, or institutions created, etc.
* Who were the winners and losers as a consequence of the action/programme?
* Sustainability – will the changes described continue to be effective?
* Resources used in the action? E.g how were resources obtained, how will this play out in terms of sustainability?

**6. Lessons Learned**

This section describes the lessons learned in terms of sustainability, scalability, and replicability. Each lesson should take up to 400 characters. We invite you to share up to 5 Lessons Learned, where 2 Lessons are required and another 3 Lessons are optional. Questions to answer may include:

* What is the potential for scaling up or replicating the initiative/project?
* What are the most important lessons from this case that might be useful for other countries and for their policies in the implementation of the IWRM approach?